

How effectively does payment drive cost and quality improvements?

Fee for Service:	Poorly
Current Value-Based Programs:	Moderately
Qcentive:	Strongly (enhanced by effective program and contract design and stakeholder analytics)

How accurate are payments and settlements?

Fee for Service:	Generally accurate
Current Value-Based Programs:	Less accurate
Qcentive:	Accurate and repeatable at scale

How are administration and technology involved?

Fee for Service:	Established systems and procedures
Current Value-Based Programs:	Complex systems, manual processes, labor-intensive
Qcentive:	Cloud-based, automated, integrated across key functions

Who supports the program?

Fee for Service:	In-house staff or outsourced
Current Value-Based Programs:	In-house staff or outsourced (with challenging communication and workflow)
Qcentive:	In-house staff and all stakeholders (enabled by an integrated, consistent platform)

How complex are payment operations?

Fee for Service:	Complicated, but systems in place
Current Value-Based Programs:	Complicated, often inefficient and ineffective
Qcentive:	Radically easier. More efficient. Promotes program success.